REMARKS

Per our conversation with the Examiner, we have amended paragraphs 0017, 0025, 0026, 0027, 0028, 0030, 0033 and 0034 as indicated hereinabove. These amended paragraphs bring the specification into conformity with the other portions of the specification, figures and claims as filed. Therefore no new matter has been introduced as these changes bring aspects of the specification in conformity with other sections of the application as filed.

Likewise, the Applicant has also provided replacement figures FIG. 3C, FIG. 3D, FIG. 3E, FIG. 4 and FIG. 5. Regarding FIG. 3C, arrows were removed between the narrow gamut interpolation points p1 and p2 wide gamut interpolation points p1' and p2'. This shows the starting points of the respective interpolation points in each of the narrow gamut and wide gamut prior to an interpolation operation in accordance with aspects of the present invention.

In FIG. 3D, the resulting interpolation points resulting from application of aspects of the present invention have been modified to visually clarify one example. Consistent with the flowchart diagram of FIG. 4 as filed, points w2 (wide gamut point 2) and n2 (narrow gamut point 2) map to the same interpolation point p2 as indicated in step 406 and 408 since the narrow gamut 304 overlaps the wide gamut 302. However, points w1 (wide gamut point 1) and n1 (narrow gamut point 1) map to interpolation points p1 and p1' respectively since the narrow gamut 304 in this region does not overlap the wide gamut 302. Indeed, it can be seen that the narrow gamut 304 is

narrower than both the printer gamut 306 and the wide gamut 302. Accordingly, aspects of the present invention are used to interpolate these values p1 and p1' under these circumstances and at least in accordance with FIG. 4 at steps 406, 410, 412, 414 and 416 as filed.

Regarding FIG. 3E, an expanded not to scale view of FIG. 3D is provided for further clarification. Essentially, FIG. 3E provides a close up of w1 (wide gamut point 1) mapping to p1' (wide gamut interpolation point 1) and n1 (narrow gamut point 1) mapping to p1 (narrow gamut interpolation point 1). It also shows that a final interpolation point at or near i2 would be the result of the interpolation between points p1 and p1' as described in the specification as filed with respect to at least those paragraphs associated with FIG. 4 as filed.

FIG. 4 was also modified to remove a typographical error that connected step 408 and step 416. This modification is consistent with the specification that makes no indication there is a transition between steps 408 and step 416. Further, FIG. 5 was also modified to reference step 412 from step 506 and step 410 from step 510 also in accordance with the specification and consistent with the logic of the specification as filed.

Applicant has made a diligent effort to clarify aspects of the present invention and have added no new matter. Accordingly, the Applicant believes the claims are in condition for allowance. However, should there remain unresolved issues that require adverse action, it is respectfully

requested that the Examiner telephone Leland Wiesner, Applicant's Attorney at (650) 853-1113 so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as possible.

For these reasons, and in view of the above amendments, this application is now considered to be in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

9/10/2007
Date

Leland Wiesner
Attorney/Agent for Applicant
Reg. No. 39424

Leland Wiesner, Attorney
Wiesner & Associates
366 Cambridge Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94306 Tel. (650) 853-1113

Attachments: replacement sheets for FIG. 3C, FIG. 3D, FIG. 3E, FIG. 4, FIG. 5